KMAN PUBLISHING INSTITUTE
Journal Website

Article history:
Received 16 March 2024
Revised 06 June 2024
Accepted 14 June 2024
Published online 01 July 2024

Journal of Technology in Entrepreneurship and Strategic Management

Volume 3, Issue 3, pp 59-69

E-ISSN: 3041-8585

Intellectual Property Management in Early-Stage Technology Ventures: A Qualitative Study

Mustafa Kaan. Tuysuz[1], Ahmet. Kılıç[2*]

[1] Institute of Social Sciences, Siirt Universite, Siirt, Turkey
[2] Faculty of Theology, Siirt Universite, Turkey

*** Corresponding author email address**: mustafakaantuysuz@siirt.edu.tr

A r t i c l e I n f o

Article type:
Original Research

How to cite this article:
Tuysuz, M.K, & Kılıç, A. (2024). Intellectual Property Management in Early-Stage Technology Ventures: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Technology in Entrepreneurship and Strategic Management*, *3*(3), 59-69.

A B S T R A C T

The objective of this study was to explore the strategies and challenges associated with intellectual property (IP) management in early-stage technology ventures. By examining how these ventures navigate the complexities of IP, the study aims to provide insights into the practices that contribute to their innovation, competitive advantage, and long-term sustainability. This qualitative study employed semi-structured interviews with 27 participants who were actively involved in managing IP within early-stage technology ventures. Participants were selected through purposive sampling to ensure relevant experience in IP management. Data were collected until theoretical saturation was achieved. The interviews were transcribed and analyzed using NVivo software, following a thematic analysis approach to identify key themes and subthemes. The analysis revealed three main themes: the importance of IP, IP management strategies, and challenges in IP management. Participants highlighted the strategic role of IP in providing a competitive edge, attracting investment, and facilitating market differentiation. Key strategies identified included systematic identification and protection of IP, collaboration and partnerships, and enforcement mechanisms. Challenges encompassed financial constraints, legal and regulatory complexities, lack of

IP knowledge, internal organizational issues, and external threats such as cybersecurity risks and global competition. These findings align with existing literature on IP management and underscore the multifaceted nature of IP in early-stage ventures. Effective IP management is crucial for the success of early-stage technology ventures. The study emphasizes the need for robust IP strategies, education and expertise in IP, strategic collaborations, and vigilant enforcement mechanisms. Addressing financial and regulatory challenges and investing in cybersecurity are essential for mitigating risks and sustaining competitive advantage. The insights gained can inform entrepreneurs, investors, and policymakers in developing practices and policies that support innovation and growth in the technology sector.

Keywords: *Intellectual Property Management, Early-Stage Technology Ventures, Innovation, Competitive Advantage, IP Strategies.*

Introduction

Intellectual Property (IP) management is a critical aspect of strategic planning and operational execution for early-stage technology ventures (Bhaduri, 2023; KarataŞ & ÇAkir, 2023; Shahidan, 2023a). These ventures, often characterized by limited resources and high innovation potential, must navigate the complexities of IP to secure competitive advantage, attract investment, and ensure long-term sustainability (Motari, 2021; Pavlo et al., 2021; Rimmer, 2021; Sekalala et al., 2021). The importance of effective IP management in technology-driven enterprises has been underscored by numerous studies, which highlight its role in fostering innovation, facilitating market differentiation, and driving economic growth (Minin & Faems, 2013).

The significance of IP in fostering innovation and securing venture success is well-documented. Ackerly et al. (2008) emphasize that venture capitalists prioritize robust IP portfolios when making investment decisions, particularly in high-stakes sectors such as healthcare and biotechnology (Ackerly et al., 2008). This underscores the necessity for startups to develop and protect their IP assets diligently. Furthermore, the strategic management of IP can provide early-stage ventures with a sustainable competitive edge by safeguarding their innovations from competitors (Teagarden, 1998).

The role of IP in facilitating market differentiation is also critical. By establishing a unique position in the market, startups can leverage their IP to enhance brand recognition and customer trust (Shahidan, 2023b). This is particularly important in rapidly evolving technology markets, where differentiation can be the key to survival and growth. For instance, Aslam et al. (2020) discuss how standardizing education in interventional pulmonology amidst technological changes can help firms maintain a competitive edge, highlighting the intersection of IP and market positioning (Aslam et al., 2020).

Effective IP management also has a profound impact on the financial aspects of a venture. Deeds et al. (2004) argue that the legitimacy conferred by a strong IP portfolio can influence the flow of capital into high-technology ventures (Deeds et al., 2004). This is echoed by Uzuegbunam et al. (2017), who

E-ISSN: 3041-8585

explore the relationship between corporate investors and the IP portfolios of new firms, demonstrating that robust IP strategies can attract significant investment (Uzuegbunam et al., 2017). This financial inflow is crucial for startups, which often face substantial resource constraints (Evertsen, 2023).

However, managing IP in early-stage ventures is fraught with challenges. Financial constraints, legal and regulatory complexities, and the fast-paced nature of technological innovation all pose significant hurdles (Reynolds, 2024). For instance, the high costs associated with obtaining and maintaining patents can be prohibitive for startups, which typically operate on tight budgets (Santos et al., 2022). Additionally, navigating the intricate legal landscapes and staying compliant with ever-changing regulations requires considerable expertise and resources (Lahiri et al., 2019).

Knowledge and expertise in IP management are critical yet often lacking in early-stage ventures. This gap necessitates seeking specialist advice and investing in training and development to build internal capabilities (Manchester et al., 2023). Cavalheiro et al. (2020) highlight the importance of entrepreneurship education that incorporates IP management to equip future entrepreneurs with the necessary skills and knowledge (Cavalheiro et al., 2020).

Collaboration and partnerships play a vital role in the IP management strategies of startups. By engaging in joint ventures, research collaborations, and licensing agreements, early-stage ventures can leverage external expertise and resources to bolster their IP portfolios (Silva et al., 2020). Such collaborations not only enhance the innovation capacity of startups but also provide avenues for commercializing their IP assets (Arora et al., 2001).

The strategic prioritization of IP within the broader context of venture management is another critical aspect. Balancing IP initiatives with other business priorities requires a nuanced understanding of both short-term operational needs and long-term strategic goals (Kirchberger & Pohl, 2016). This balancing act is essential to ensure that the IP management efforts align with the overall business objectives and contribute to sustainable growth.

Furthermore, the role of informal hierarchies and team dynamics in innovation and IP management cannot be overlooked. Lahiri et al. (2019) discuss how collaboration and informal hierarchies within innovation teams can influence product introductions and overall venture success. Effective team dynamics and leadership play a crucial role in fostering an environment conducive to innovation and robust IP management (Lahiri et al., 2019).

In addition to these internal factors, external threats such as cybersecurity risks and global competition pose significant challenges to IP management. The increasing incidence of IP theft and cyber-attacks underscores the need for startups to invest in robust cybersecurity measures to protect their valuable IP assets (Shahidan, 2023b). Moreover, the global nature of technology markets means that startups must be vigilant in protecting their IP across multiple jurisdictions (Cavalheiro et al., 2020).

Despite these challenges, the potential benefits of effective IP management for early-stage technology ventures are immense. By securing their innovations, enhancing market differentiation, attracting investment, and navigating the complexities of the IP landscape, startups can position themselves for long-term success and growth. As this study aims to explore, understanding the strategies and practices adopted by early-stage technology ventures in managing their IP can provide valuable insights for entrepreneurs, investors, and policymakers alike.

E-ISSN: 3041-8585

In conclusion, the effective management of IP is a cornerstone of success for early-stage technology ventures. The intricate interplay between innovation, market dynamics, financial considerations, and regulatory environments necessitates a comprehensive and strategic approach to IP management. By examining the experiences and practices of early-stage technology ventures, this study seeks to contribute to the growing body of knowledge on IP management and offer practical insights for navigating this critical aspect of entrepreneurial success.

Methods and Materials

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore intellectual property (IP) management strategies in early-stage technology ventures. Given the exploratory nature of this research, a qualitative approach is particularly suitable for gaining in-depth insights into the practices, challenges, and strategies adopted by these ventures.

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, allowing for flexibility in exploring specific themes while maintaining a consistent framework for each interview. This approach enabled the researchers to gather rich, detailed information from participants while allowing them to express their perspectives and experiences freely.

Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure that the sample comprised individuals with relevant experience in managing IP in early-stage technology ventures. Criteria for inclusion were:

- Involvement in an early-stage technology venture (defined as a startup in the technology sector that is in the initial phases of development and growth).
- Direct experience with or responsibility for IP management within the venture.
- The initial pool of participants was identified through industry networks, startup incubators, and professional associations. Snowball sampling was also employed, where initial participants recommended others who met the selection criteria.

Interviews were conducted using a semi-structured protocol to balance consistency with the ability to explore emergent themes. The interview guide included open-ended questions covering topics such as:

- The role and importance of IP in their venture.
- Strategies for identifying and protecting IP.
- Challenges faced in managing IP.
- The impact of IP management on venture growth and development.

Interviews were conducted either in person or via video conferencing, depending on the participants' location and preference. Each interview lasted approximately 60 to 90 minutes.

Data collection continued until theoretical saturation was reached, meaning no new themes or insights were emerging from the interviews. This point was determined when subsequent interviews provided repetitive information and no longer contributed to developing new theoretical insights.

E-ISSN: 3041-8585

Data analysis was performed using NVivo software, a qualitative data analysis tool that facilitates the organization, coding, and interpretation of large volumes of textual data. The analysis process included the following steps:

- Transcription: All interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim to ensure accuracy and completeness of the data.
- Coding: Transcripts were imported into NVivo, where initial coding was conducted. This involved assigning labels to segments of text that represented key themes or concepts.
- Thematic Analysis: Coded data were analyzed to identify patterns and relationships among themes. This iterative process involved refining and merging codes as necessary to develop a comprehensive understanding of the data.
- Validity and Reliability: To ensure the validity and reliability of the findings, multiple strategies were employed, including triangulation (comparing findings across different interviews), member checking (sharing interpretations with participants for validation), and peer debriefing (discussing findings with colleagues to challenge and refine interpretations).

Findings and Results

The study included 27 participants, all of whom were actively involved in the management of intellectual property within early-stage technology ventures. The participants were predominantly male, with 18 men (66.7%) and 9 women (33.3%). The age range of the participants was between 28 and 54 years, with an average age of 39 years. Regarding educational background, 22 participants (81.5%) held advanced degrees (Master's or PhD), and the remaining 5 (18.5%) had a Bachelor's degree. The participants represented a diverse array of sectors within the technology industry, including software development (10 participants, 37%), biotechnology (7 participants, 25.9%), electronics (5 participants, 18.5%), and renewable energy (5 participants, 18.5%).

Table 1

The Results of Thematic Analysis

Categories (Themes)	Subcategories (Subthemes)	Concepts (Open Codes)
1. Importance of IP	1.1 Strategic Asset	Competitive Advantage, Value Creation, Attracting Investors
	1.2 Market Differentiation	Unique Selling Proposition, Brand Recognition, Customer Trust
	1.3 Revenue Generation	Licensing Opportunities, Product Monetization, Royalties
	1.4 Risk Mitigation	Legal Protection, Preventing Imitation, Reducing Litigation Risk
	1.5 Venture Credibility	Reputation Building, Stakeholder Confidence, Partner Trust
2. IP Management Strategies	2.1 Identification of IP	Innovation Assessment, Idea Screening, Patentability Evaluation
	2.2 Protection Mechanisms	Patents, Trademarks, Copyrights
	2.3 IP Portfolio Management	Prioritizing IP Assets, Portfolio Review, Strategic Patenting
	2.4 Collaboration and Partnerships	Joint Ventures, Research Collaborations, Licensing Agreements

E-ISSN: 3041-8585

	2.5 Enforcement Strategies	Legal Actions, Monitoring Infringements, Cease and Desist Letters
	2.6 Funding and Resource Allocation	Budgeting for IP, External Funding, IP-Related Investments
3. Challenges in IP Management	3.1 Financial Constraints	High Costs, Limited Resources, Funding Challenges
	3.2 Legal and Regulatory Issues	Complex Legal Frameworks, Compliance Requirements, Regulatory Changes
	3.3 Market Dynamics	Rapid Technological Changes, Market Competition, Short Product Lifecycles
	3.4 Knowledge and Expertise	Lack of IP Knowledge, Need for Specialist Advice, Training and Development
	3.5 Internal Organizational Issues	Inconsistent IP Policies, Internal Resistance, Coordination Challenges
	3.6 Strategic Prioritization	Balancing IP with Other Priorities, Long-term vs Short-term Focus
	3.7 External Threats	Cybersecurity Risks, IP Theft, Global Competition

Importance of IP

Strategic Asset: Intellectual property (IP) is viewed as a strategic asset for early-stage technology ventures. It provides a competitive advantage, aids in value creation, and attracts investors. One participant noted, "Having strong IP makes our venture more attractive to investors and partners."

Market Differentiation: IP plays a crucial role in market differentiation, helping ventures establish a unique selling proposition, enhance brand recognition, and build customer trust. Another participant highlighted, "Our patents set us apart from competitors and reassure our customers about the uniqueness of our solutions."

Revenue Generation: Ventures leverage IP for revenue generation through licensing opportunities, product monetization, and earning royalties. A participant mentioned, "Licensing our technology to other companies has become a significant revenue stream for us."

Risk Mitigation: Managing IP helps mitigate risks by providing legal protection, preventing imitation, and reducing litigation risk. As one interviewee stated, "Securing patents early on is essential to prevent others from copying our innovations."

Venture Credibility: Strong IP management enhances venture credibility, builds reputation, and increases stakeholder confidence and partner trust. A respondent commented, "Our well-managed IP portfolio boosts our credibility with potential partners and investors."

IP Management Strategies

Identification of IP: Ventures use systematic processes for identifying IP, including innovation assessment, idea screening, and patentability evaluation. One participant explained, "We have a rigorous process to assess and screen ideas to identify potential IP."

Protection Mechanisms: Various protection mechanisms, such as patents, trademarks, and copyrights, are employed to safeguard IP. A participant stated, "We rely heavily on patents and trademarks to protect our innovations and brand."

IP Portfolio Management: Effective IP portfolio management involves prioritizing IP assets, conducting portfolio reviews, and engaging in strategic patenting. Another interviewee remarked, "Regular portfolio reviews help us prioritize our IP assets and make strategic decisions about patenting."

E-ISSN: 3041-8585

Collaboration and Partnerships: Collaboration and partnerships, including joint ventures, research collaborations, and licensing agreements, are key strategies for managing IP. One respondent noted, "Collaborating with other firms and research institutions allows us to leverage our IP more effectively."

Enforcement Strategies: Enforcement strategies include taking legal actions, monitoring infringements, and issuing cease and desist letters. A participant shared, "We actively monitor the market for IP infringements and are prepared to take legal action when necessary."

Funding and Resource Allocation: Proper funding and resource allocation for IP management are critical, involving budgeting, securing external funding, and making IP-related investments. An interviewee mentioned, "Allocating sufficient budget and resources to IP management is crucial for our long-term success."

Challenges in IP Management

Financial Constraints: Financial constraints, such as high costs, limited resources, and funding challenges, are significant obstacles in IP management. One participant explained, "The cost of obtaining and maintaining patents can be a huge burden for a startup."

Legal and Regulatory Issues: Navigating complex legal frameworks, compliance requirements, and regulatory changes poses challenges. A respondent stated, "Keeping up with the ever-changing legal landscape is a constant challenge for us."

Market Dynamics: Rapid technological changes, market competition, and short product lifecycles add to the complexity of managing IP. Another interviewee remarked, "The fast pace of technology means we need to be constantly innovating and protecting our IP."

Knowledge and Expertise: Lack of IP knowledge, the need for specialist advice, and training and development are critical issues. One participant noted, "We often need to seek external expertise to manage our IP effectively."

Internal Organizational Issues: Inconsistent IP policies, internal resistance, and coordination challenges within the organization can hinder effective IP management. A respondent commented, "Internal coordination and getting everyone on the same page about IP policies is not always easy."

Strategic Prioritization: Balancing IP with other priorities and deciding between long-term and short-term focus can be difficult. An interviewee mentioned, "It's a constant challenge to balance immediate business needs with the long-term benefits of IP protection."

External Threats: External threats such as cybersecurity risks, IP theft, and global competition are significant concerns. One participant explained, "Cybersecurity is a major concern for us, as a breach could lead to IP theft and significant losses."

Conclusion

E-ISSN: 3041-8585

The findings of this study underscore the multifaceted role of intellectual property (IP) management in early-stage technology ventures. Three primary themes emerged from the analysis: the importance of IP, IP management strategies, and the challenges in IP management.

The ability of IP to generate revenue through licensing and product monetization was highlighted by participants, echoing the findings of Teagarden (1998). This revenue potential reinforces the notion that IP is not just a protective mechanism but a critical driver of financial performance for technology ventures (Teagarden, 1998).

The study revealed diverse strategies employed by early-stage ventures to manage their IP, including systematic identification of IP, employing various protection mechanisms, and engaging in effective IP portfolio management. The use of patents, trademarks, and copyrights as protection mechanisms is well-documented in the literature (Willoughby, 2013a, 2013b), supporting our findings that these tools are essential in safeguarding innovations.

Collaboration and partnerships emerged as vital strategies, with participants leveraging joint ventures, research collaborations, and licensing agreements to enhance their IP portfolios. This finding aligns with Silva et al. (2020), who emphasize the role of collaboration in strengthening innovation and IP management capabilities (Silva et al., 2020). Additionally, the strategic use of enforcement mechanisms, such as legal actions and monitoring for infringements, was noted, resonating with Arora et al. (2001), who discuss the importance of vigilant IP protection in maintaining competitive advantage (Arora et al., 2001).

Participants identified several challenges in managing IP, including financial constraints, legal and regulatory complexities, and rapid technological changes. These challenges are corroborated by Reynolds (2024), who highlights the high costs and resource limitations faced by startups in securing and maintaining IP (Reynolds, 2024). The complexity of navigating legal frameworks and staying compliant with regulatory changes was also a significant concern, consistent with the prior findings (Lahiri et al., 2019).

The lack of IP knowledge and the need for specialist advice were critical issues, reflecting Manchester et al.'s (2023) emphasis on the importance of education and expertise in effective IP management (Manchester et al., 2023). Internal organizational challenges, such as inconsistent IP policies and coordination difficulties, further complicate the management process, aligning with the insights of Kirchberger and Pohl (2016) on the need for strategic prioritization and alignment within ventures (Kirchberger & Pohl, 2016).

The impact of external threats, including cybersecurity risks and global competition, was another significant finding. The increasing incidence of IP theft and the necessity for robust cybersecurity measures to protect IP assets are well-supported by Shahidan (2023). This highlights the evolving nature of threats and the need for startups to adapt their IP management strategies accordingly (Shahidan, 2023b).

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the sample size of 27 participants, while providing valuable insights, may not be fully representative of the diverse landscape of early-stage technology ventures. Additionally, the qualitative nature of the research, though rich in

E-ISSN: 3041-8585

detail, may limit the generalizability of the findings. The reliance on self-reported data from participants may also introduce bias, as individuals may portray their IP management practices more favorably than in reality. Finally, the study primarily focused on ventures from specific geographic regions, which may limit the applicability of the findings to other contexts.

Future research should aim to address these limitations by expanding the sample size and incorporating a more diverse range of participants from different regions and sectors. Quantitative studies could complement the qualitative insights gained here, allowing for the statistical analysis of IP management practices and their impact on venture performance. Additionally, longitudinal studies would provide a deeper understanding of how IP management strategies evolve over time and their long-term effects on venture success. Exploring the intersection of IP management with emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and blockchain, could also yield valuable insights into new opportunities and challenges in the field.

For practitioners, several recommendations emerge from this study. Early-stage technology ventures should prioritize the development of robust IP strategies that encompass systematic identification, protection, and management of IP assets. Investing in education and training to build internal IP expertise is crucial, as is seeking specialist advice when necessary. Ventures should also consider forming strategic collaborations and partnerships to leverage external resources and enhance their IP portfolios. Regularly reviewing and updating IP policies, along with maintaining vigilance in monitoring and enforcing IP rights, can help mitigate risks and ensure sustained competitive advantage. Finally, startups must invest in cybersecurity measures to protect their valuable IP assets from external threats, adapting their strategies to the evolving landscape of global competition and technological advancements.

Authors' Contributions

Authors contributed equally to this article.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethics Considerations

The study protocol adhered to the principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration, which provides guidelines for ethical research involving human participants.

E-ISSN: 3041-8585

References

Ackerly, D. C., Valverde, A. M., Diener, L. W., & Dossary, K. L. (2008). Fueling Innovation in Medical Devices (And Beyond): Venture Capital in Health Care. *Health Affairs*, *27*(Suppl1), w68-w75. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.28.1.w68

Arora, A., Fosfuri, A., & Gambardella, A. (2001). Markets for Technology and Their Implications for Corporate Strategy. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, *10*(2), 419-451. https://doi.org/10.1093/icc/10.2.419

Aslam, W., Lee, H. J., & Lamb, C. (2020). Standardizing Education in Interventional Pulmonology in the Midst of Technological Change. *Journal of Thoracic Disease*, *12*(6), 3331-3340. https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2020.03.104

Bhaduri, A. (2023). Communities as Inventors: Rethinking Positive Protection of Traditional Knowledge Through Patents. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. https://doi.org/10.1111/jwip.12279

Cavalheiro, G. M. d. C., Cavalheiro, M. B., & Mariano, S. R. H. (2020). A Patent-Based Model of Entrepreneurship Education in Brazil. *Education + Training*, *62*(7/8), 947-963. https://doi.org/10.1108/et-07-2019-0164

Deeds, D., Mang, P. Y., & Frandsen, M. L. (2004). The Influence of Firms' and Industries' Legitimacy on the Flow of Capital Into High-Technology Ventures. *Strategic Organization*, *2*(1), 9-34. https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127004040913

Evertsen, P. H. (2023). Resource Configurations Among Digital Academic Spin-Offs: Finding the Technology-Market Fit. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, *30*(2/3), 520-547. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijebr-10-2022-0937

KarataŞ, M. H., & ÇAkir, H. (2023). A Systematic Literature Review on IT Governance Mechanisms and Frameworks. *Journal of Learning and Teaching in Digital Age*. https://doi.org/10.53850/joltida.1300262

Kirchberger, M., & Pohl, L. (2016). Technology Commercialization: A Literature Review of Success Factors and Antecedents Across Different Contexts. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *41*(5), 1077-1112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-016-9486-3

Lahiri, A., Pahnke, E. C., Howard, M., & Boeker, W. (2019). Collaboration and Informal Hierarchy in Innovation Teams: Product Introductions in Entrepreneurial Ventures. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, *13*(3), 326-358. https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.1331

Manchester, C. F., Benson, A., & Shaver, J. M. (2023). Dual Careers and the Willingness to Consider Employment in Startup Ventures. *Strategic management journal*, *44*(9), 2175-2194. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3481

Minin, A. D., & Faems, D. (2013). Building Appropriation Advantage: An Introduction to the Special Issue on Intellectual Property Management. *California Management Review*, *55*(4), 7-14. https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2013.55.4.7

Motari, M. (2021). The Role of Intellectual Property Rights on Access to Medicines in the WHO African Region: 25 years After the TRIPS Agreement. *BMC public health*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10374-y

Pavlo, V. O., Bondarenko, K. A., Эннан, P. E., Havlovska, A., & Shliienko, V. (2021). Objects of Intellectual Property Rights Created by Artificial Intelligence: International Legal Regulation. *Cuestiones Políticas*. https://doi.org/10.46398/cuestpol.3968.32

Reynolds, S. (2024). Understanding the Impact of Emerging Technologies on Entrepreneurial Ventures. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4095131/v1

Rimmer, M. (2021). The People's Vaccine: Intellectual Property, Access to Essential Medicines, and the Coronavirus COVID-19. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3914440

Santos, V. d., Beuren, I. M., Bernd, D. C., & Fey, N. (2022). Use of Management Controls and Product Innovation in Startups: Intervention of Knowledge Sharing and Technological Turbulence. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *27*(2), 264-284. https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-08-2021-0629

Sekalala, S., Forman, L., Hodgson, T. F., Mulumba, M., Namyalo-Ganafa, H., & Meier, B. M. (2021). Decolonising Human Rights: How Intellectual Property Laws Result in Unequal Access to the COVID-19 Vaccine. *BMJ Global Health*. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-006169

Shahidan, N. H. (2023a). Sustainable Technology Development During Intellectual Property Rights Commercialisation by University Startups. *Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*. https://doi.org/10.1108/apjie-07-2023-0142

Shahidan, N. H. (2023b). Sustainable Technology Development During Intellectual Property Rights Commercialisation by University Startups. *Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, *17*(3/4), 176-194. https://doi.org/10.1108/apjie-07-2023-0142

Silva, D. S., Ghezzi, A., Aguiar, R. B. d., Cortimiglia, M. N., & Caten, C. S. t. (2020). Lean Startup, Agile Methodologies and Customer Development for Business Model Innovation. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, *26*(4), 595-628. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijebr-07-2019-0425

E-ISSN: 3041-8585

Teagarden, M. B. (1998). Unbundling the Intellectual Joint Venture Process. *Journal of managerial psychology*, *13*(3/4), 178-187. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683949810214986

Uzuegbunam, I., Ofem, B., & Nambisan, S. (2017). Do Corporate Investors Affect Entrepreneurs' IP Portfolio? Entrepreneurial Finance and Intellectual Property in New Firms. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, *43*(4), 673-696. https://doi.org/10.1177/1042258717738247

Willoughby, K. W. (2013a). Intellectual Property Management and Technological Entrepreneurship. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, *10*(06), 1340027. https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219877013400270

Willoughby, K. W. (2013b). What Impact Does Intellectual Property Have on the Business Performance of Technology Firms? *International Journal of Intellectual Property Management*, *6*(4), 316. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijipm.2013.057634

E-ISSN: 3041-8585